A Phylogenetically Based Infrageneric Classification of the Parasitic Plant Genus *Cuscuta* (Dodders, Convolvulaceae)

Mihai Costea,^{1,3} Miguel A. García,² and Saša Stefanović²

¹Department of Biology, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Ontario N2L3C5, Canada. ²Department of Biology, University of Toronto Mississauga, Mississauga, Ontario L5L 1C6, Canada. ³Author for correspondence (mcostea@wlu.ca)

Communicating Editor: Jennifer A. Tate

Abstract—Cuscuta (dodders, Convolvulaceae) is one of the largest and most economically important lineages of parasitic plants. The genus has a sub-cosmopolitan distribution with more than 75% of the species diversifying in the New World. The last monograph, published by Truman George Yuncker in 1932, provided a solid species-level taxonomic foundation. However, as revealed by recent phylogenetic studies, its infrageneric classification has been in great need of a taxonomic reappraisal, mainly because the morphological characters used in the previous classifications have been greatly affected by convergent evolution. Several recent phylogenetic and character evolution studies with broad sampling, as well as species-level revisions, have illustrated the deficiencies of previous classifications and provided an explicit and robust phylogenetic framework. Here we propose a new phylogenetic classification that places all 194 currently accepted species of *Cuscuta* into four subgenera and 18 sections. Sections have a strong morphological and biogeographical predictive value and include from one to 31 species. Thirteen section names are new or applied for the first time at the sectional rank: *Babylonicae* (Yunck.) M. A. García, *Subulatae* (Engelm.) Costea & Stefanović, *Obtusilobae* (Engelm.) Costea & Stefanović, *Californicae* (Yunck.) Costea & Stefanović, *Ceratophorae* (Yunck.) Costea & Stefanović, *Costea & Stefanović, Partitae* Costea & Stefanović, *Racemosae* (Yunck.) Costea & Stefanović, *Partitae* Costea & Stefanović, and *Denticulatae* (Yunck.) Costea & Stefanović. An identification key to sections is included together with an overview of morphology, geographical distribution, taxonomic notes, and lists of included species.

Keywords-Molecular phylogeny, morphology, systematics, taxonomy.

Cuscuta (dodder) is a genus of nearly 200 species of stem parasites that has evolved within Convolvulaceae (reviewed by Stefanović and Olmstead 2004, 2005). Dodder embryos lack cotyledons; their radicle is devoid of apical meristems and degenerates a few days after germination (Truscott 1966; Sherman et al. 2008). Another distinctive characteristic of this parasitic lineage is the diversity of photosynthetic capabilities and plastome reductions at clade and at species levels, which accompanied transitions from hetero- to holoparasitism (reviewed by Braukmann et al. 2013). The genus is nearly cosmopolitan, but the majority of species (ca. 75%) are native to North and South America. Dodders occur in a great variety of habitats, from temperate to tropical, desert to riparian, littoral to high mountains, grasslands, forests, saline, and disturbed habitats. Similarly to other parasitic plants, dodders act as keystone species in their ecosystems (Press and Phoenix 2005). Approximately 15-20 Cuscuta sp. worldwide are agricultural and horticultural pests (Dawson et al. 1994; Costea and Tardif 2006), and in most countries the control and quarantine measures target the genus as a whole, ignoring the fact that more species may be endangered or even threatened with extinction (Costea and Stefanović 2009a).

Remarks about the peculiar morphology of *Cuscuta* and its parasitic nature date back to the Babylonian Talmud and Dioscorides, while the etymology of the generic name can be retraced to Aramaic and/or ancient Hebrew (Costea and Tardif 2004). As a distinct group, *Cuscuta* had been recognized before Linnaeus by Bauhin (1623), Ray (1682), and Tournefort (1694). In 1753, Linnaean *Cuscuta* included only two species: *C. europaea* (including *C. europaea* var. *epithymum*) and *C. americana* (Linnaeus 1753). Choisy (1841) published the first monograph of *Cuscuta*, in which he increased the number of known species to 38 and provided the first infrageneric classification based on the shape of stigmas. His "*Sectio prima*" with acute/clavate stigmas and "*Sectio secunda*" with globosecapitate stigmas circumscribe the major infrageneric groups known today as subgenera *Cuscuta* and *Grammica*, respec-

tively. The next monograph of the genus was provided by Engelmann (1859), who added 57 new species and used style and stigma characters to delimit three major (unranked) "Groups" of dodders: Cuscuta, with two styles and elongated stigmas; Grammica with two styles and capitate stigmas; and Monogynella with one style and variously shaped stigmas. Subsequent botanists and scholars of *Cuscuta* (e.g. Peter 1891; Mirande 1900; Yuncker 1921, 1932, 1965; Hunziker 1949, 1950) endorsed this delimitation with three subgenera, which have been universally accepted until today. In contrast to the definition of subgenera, the separation of infrageneric taxa below the rank of subgenus has varied considerably from author to author. Engelmann (1859) defined nine sections and six subsections. Yuncker (1932) proposed an intricate infrageneric classification with eight sections and 32 subsections. Finally, Hunziker, in treatments of Cuscuta from Argentina and Uruguay (Hunziker 1949, 1950), added two new subsections to Yuncker's classification of subgenus Grammica.

During the last decade, our understanding of *Cuscuta* systematics has been substantially enhanced by molecular studies aimed at unraveling evolutionary relationships at different taxonomic levels, character evolution, and biogeography. Two broad-level molecular phylogenetic studies based on plastid (pt) trnL-UAA/trnF-GAA and nuclear ribosomal (nr) ITS sequences tested the monophyly of subgenera Cuscuta and Grammica and provided the relationships among their major infrageneric clades (García and Martín 2007; Stefanović et al. 2007). Similar results were also obtained in a study by McNeal et al. (2007), which was less comprehensive in terms of taxon sampling but included representatives from across the entire genus as well as additional pt sequences (*rps2* and *matK*). Finally, in the most comprehensive phylogenetic study to date we expanded our previous sampling and existing matrices to the entire genus using coding plastid and nuclear sequence data (rbcL and nrLSU, respectively) from a wide taxonomic sampling and covering its morphological, physiological, and geographical diversity (García et al. 2014). While these recent studies have largely confirmed the three major groups/ subgenera proposed by Engelmann, the delimitation of a fourth major lineage, "Pachystigma", which includes South African species, emerged as a necessity. Subgenus Cuscuta was found to be paraphyletic, with the South African members of this subgenus (sect. *Pachystigma*) more closely related to subgenus Grammica, as previously suggested by McNeal et al. (2007) based on a limited sampling. In addition, much more substantial changes were revealed at a sectional level, particularly in the largest infrageneric group, subgenus Grammica (153 species; ~3/4 of species diversity of the genus). In contrast to Yuncker's classification of subg. Grammica with 2 sections and 24 subsections, our results have indicated the existence of 15 well-supported major clades (labeled informally A-O in Stefanović et al. 2007, Stefanović and Costea 2008; García et al. 2014). Moreover, the species make-up of these groups diverges significantly from the taxonomic arrangements of Engelmann (1859) and Yuncker (1932). Also, a series of focused studies explored in depth the species-level evolutionary relationships within nine of the 15 major Grammica clades (Costea et al. 2005, 2006a, b, c, 2008a, 2009, 2011a, b, 2013; Costea and Stefanović 2009b, 2010). All of these studies emphasized the necessity for a new infrageneric classification and nomenclatural scheme

for *Cuscuta*. Thus the main objective of this study is to incorporate all of the above mentioned phylogenetic results into a new formal infrageneric classification of this genus, in conjunction with a re-evaluation of traditional taxonomic characters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This infrageneric classification is based on the molecular phylogenetic framework provided primarily by the studies of García and Martín (2007), Stefanović et al. (2007), and García et al. (2014). The infrageneric taxa proposed, four subgenera and 18 sections, are all monophyletic (Fig. 1). The smallest section is monotypic and the largest includes 31 species. To maximize nomenclatural stability, whenever possible, we retained the available infrageneric names, although especially in subg. *Grammica* most of these names are applied for the first time at the sectional rank. For this purpose, all the previous infrageneric names were typified. In the case of Rafinesque's generic names, the problem is not strictly typification, because at least one species was indicated by the author for each genus (Rafinesque 1836), but rather determining the identity of these *Cuscuta* species. Yuncker (1921, 1932) and Manitz (1976) elucidated the identity of most of these species names, and we proposed *Cuscuta* synonyms for the remaining ones.

Three hybrid species, each involving parents from two different clades of subgenus *Grammica*, *C. sandwichiana*, *C. bifurcata*, and *C. xanthochortos* (Stefanović and Costea 2008; García et al. 2014), were assigned to one of their respective "progenitor" clades based on their morphological affinities. The classification included all the accepted species even if some of them could not be studied in previous molecular studies. The latter species are often known only from the type specimen(s), and a provisional placement was proposed based on their morphology. These species are indicated with an asterisk ("*") in the "included species" of each section. A few remaining species with an uncertain status were also considered and their placement discussed separately. Thus, this study also represents the most accurate species count of genus *Cuscuta* to date.

Cuscuta collections from the following herbaria were studied and annotated: AAU, ABH, ALTA, ARAN, ARIZ, ASU, B, BAB, BC, BCN, BM, BOL, BORD, BR, BRIT, CAL, CANB, CAS, CEN, CHR, CHSC, CIIDIR, CIMI, COI, CTES, DAO, E, F, FT, G, GH, H, HUFU, HUJ, IAC, IEB, IND, J, JACA, JE, JEPS, K, L, LAU, LD, LE, LL, LP, LPB, LPS, M, MA, MACB, MAF, MEL, MERL, MEXU, MGC, MICH, MO, MPU, MSTR, NAP, NBG, NMC, NY, OAC, OKLA, OSC, OXF, P, PACA, PRE, QCNE, QFA, RB, RNG, RSA, S, SALA, SAM, SASK, SD, SEV, SGO, SI, SPF, TEX, TRT, TRTE, UA, UB, UBC, UCR, UCT, UNB, UNM, UPRRP, UPS, US, VAL, W, WTU, and XAL.

Morphological characters used to define subgenera and sections are based on Engelmann (1859), Yuncker (1932, 1965), a series of recent species-level systematic studies (see introduction), and several character evolution studies of the pollen, perianth, infrastaminal scales, and gynoecium in *Cuscuta* (Welsh et al. 2010; Wright et al. 2011, 2012; Riviere et al. 2013; García et al. 2014). Morphological characteristics of subgenera and sections are shown in Figs. 2, 3, 4. Stereomicroscopy images were taken from rehydrated flowers of herbarium specimens using a Nikon SMZ1500 stereomicroscope equipped with a PaxCam Arc digital camera and Pax-it 7.5 software (MIS Inc., Villa Park, Illinois, released 2014). For scanning electron microscopy (SEM), we used hexamethydisilazane (HMDS) as an alternative for critical point drying (Costea et al. 2011a, b). Examination, measurements and pictures were taken at 10 kV using a Hitachi SU1510 variable pressure scanning electron microscope. Thousands of photographs that illustrate details of the floral parts, pollen, and fruit morphology are available on the *Digital Atlas of Cuscuta* (Costea 2007-onwards).

DISCUSSION

Convergent Evolution Hindered Previous Section-level Classifications in Cuscuta-Similarly to other parasitic plants (Kuijt 1969), evolution to parasitism in Cuscuta was accompanied by a drastic reduction of the vegetative organs (e.g. stems and leaves) and a diversification of the floral parts and breeding systems (Wright et al. 2011, 2012). Therefore, previous classifications of Cuscuta (e.g. Engelmann 1859; Yuncker 1932) had to rely entirely on flower and fruit characteristics. However, recent systematic investigations at the species level (see introduction) and character evolution studies revealed that the majority of morphological characters in Cuscuta are significantly affected by convergent evolution. Such characters include: perianth features (Wright et al. 2012); shape, size, and reduction of infrastaminal scales (Riviere et al. 2013); pollen morphology (Welsh et al. 2010); shape/size of gynoecium/capsule parts (Wright et al. 2011; García et al. 2014); and fruit dehiscence/indehiscence (García et al. 2014). For example, Yuncker (1932) considered fruit dehiscence/indehiscence to be of paramount significance and hypothesized that dehiscent capsules have evolved from indehiscent ones. Accordingly, he divided subgenus Grammica into two sections: Eugrammica with dehiscent capsules, and Cleistogrammica with indehiscent capsules. In contrast, we found that capsule dehiscence is the plesiomorphic condition while the apomorphic indehiscence has evolved multiple times, sometimes with reversals to dehiscence (García et al. 2014). Thus convergent evolution has obscured the natural infrageneric groups, particularly at the section level and in the species-rich subgenus Grammica, and as a result, neither the two subsections nor the 24 subsections proposed by Yuncker (1932) for the latter subgenus are monophyletic (Fig. 1).

In some cases, entire clades/sections of subg. Grammica from different continents converge morphologically, in others, only certain species belonging to different clades share strong morphological affinities. Convergent evolution within the largest clades/sections also took place. For example, *Lobostigmae* and *Subulatae* are the largest infrageneric groups of subg. Grammica (18 and 31 species, respectively); the former group is North American and the latter South American, but both clades have in common large, fleshy flowers, thick styles, and large or lobed stigmas. These floral characteristics likely evolved in association with the tendency towards xenogamy observed in most species of these two clades (Wright et al. 2012). Similarly, sect. Oxycarpae from North America and Racemosae from South America are difficult to separate morphologically, and their species have comparable mixed-mating breeding systems in common (Wright et al. 2012).



FIG. 1. The summary evolutionary hypothesis for *Cuscuta* (dodders; Convolvulaceae) derived from sequence data from plastid (*trnL-F, rbcL*) and nuclear (nrITS, nrLSU) sources and analyzed with a range of analytical methods (García and Martín 2007; Stefanović et al. 2007; García et al. 2014). Numbers above branches indicate bootstrap support for labeled clades and backbone relationships as reported by García et al. (2014). Infrageneric classification (subgenera and sections) proposed in this paper is indicated on the left. Numbers in brackets correspond to the number of species found in those groups (see Taxonomic Treatment). Traditional definitions of sections and subsections following Yuncker (1932; amended in 1965) and their relationship with phylogenetic classification are indicated on the right; the number of species assigned within a group by Yuncker is provided in parentheses.

Isolated species from different clades that share strong morphological affinities are relatively rare; for instance, *C. yucatana* (sect. *Grammica*, Mexico; Costea et al. 2011b) and *C. acuta* (sect. *Umbellatae*, S America; Costea and Stefanović 2010), and *C. carnosa* (sect. *Ceratophorae*, Mexico; Costea et al. 2011a) and species from the *C. volcanica* subclade (sect. *Subulatae*, Mexico; Costea et al. 2013). Unfortunately, in all these cases, too little is known about the ecology and the host range of the species to attempt a biological explanation of their convergent evolution. Alternatively, the morphological similarity between species from different clades may be the result of undiscovered reticulate evolution involving species from both clades, a phenomenon that has been recently doc-

umented in subg. *Grammica* (Stefanović and Costea 2008; Costea and Stefanović 2010; García et al. 2014).

Convergent evolution within the same clade/section did not affect previous classifications but occasionally it concealed the existence of some species or it caused species delimitation problems. For instance, *C. montana*, recently described from Durango, Mexico, resembles morphologically *C. rugosiceps*, but both species belong to different subclades of sect. *Lobostigmae* (Costea et al. 2013 and the other spp. examples illustrated there). Similar situations can be also encountered in other large sections of subg. *Grammica* (e.g. *Subulatae* and *Umbellatae*). An important point, however, is that 90% of the species described in the past, often from type specimens only



FIG. 2. Morphological characteristics of *Cuscuta* subgenera. A–B. Subgenus *Monogynella*, one style gynoecium. A. *C. japonica*. B. *C. exaltata*, style distally bifid. C. Subgenus *Cuscuta*, gynoecium with two equal styles having a ± similar diameter as the cylindrical stigmas, *C. epithymum*. D. Subgenus *Pachystigma*, gynoecium with two equal or unequal styles, thinner than the clavate stigmas, *C. angulata*. E. Subgenus *Grammica*, gynoecium with two unequal styles and capitate stigmas, *C. gronovii*. F–H. Infrastaminal scales (IFS). F. General position in the flower, *C. argentinana*. G. IFS fimbriae in subgenus *Monogynella*, *C. reflexa*. H. IFS fimbriae in subgenera *Cuscuta*, *Pachystigma*, and *Grammica*, *C. campestris*. Arrows indicate laticifers (the smooth cells). Scale bars. A–F = 1 mm; G–H = 50 µm.

(e.g. by Engelmann, Yuncker, Hunziker), have been validated by modern studies; therefore, morphology is a strong predictor of species lineages within each section.

In conclusion, because of convergent evolution it was unavoidable that some morphological overlap would occur among the sections of subgenus *Grammica*. Even so, the morphological predictive value of these new infrageneric groups is high, in addition to the molecular, biogeographical, and probably biochemical characters.

Cuscuta: One Versus Several Genera-Even though a single genus concept has dominated botanical literature, historically Cuscuta was also considered to consist of several distinct genera. For example, Loureiro (1790) described genus Grammica. Rafinesque (1836) thought that Cuscuta included "at least" 10 other genera, which he defined based on characters that are currently considered appropriate at the species level (Cuscuta s. s., Anthanema, Aplostylis, Dactylepis, Eronema, Kadula, Kadurias, Lepimenes, Nemepis, and Pentake). The definition of a monotypic family Cuscutaceae by Dumortier (1829) further stimulated the description of new genera. Note that the different classifications of Cuscuta, either as multiple genera of Cuscutaceae or as groups/subgenera of a unified genus (with the exception of Rafinesque 1836), have always been based on various interpretations of the morphology of styles and stigmas (Wright et al. 2011). For example, Pfeiffer (1845) treated Cuscuta as a family with three genera:

Cuscuta with linear stigmas, Epilinella with clavate stigmas, and Engelmannia with capitate stigmas. Des Moulins (1853) segregated the family into five genera: Cuscuta (stigma filiform), Epilinella (stigma claviform), Monogynella (styles fused), Cassutha [J. Bauh.] (stigma capitate), and Succuta (stigma filiform). Most recently, Hadač and Chrtek (1970) proposed a classification with four genera: Cuscuta s. s., Grammica, Monogynella, and Kadurias, and published in this and subsequent articles a great number of nomenclatural combinations: 119 in Grammica, 25 in Monogynella, and five in Kadurias (IPNI 2014). Since none of these multi-generic nomenclatural innovations were accompanied by systematic studies, they were in general not accepted. The characters used, with the exception of the historical gynoecium characters, vary considerably across the genus (e.g. the number of chromosomes and number of coils in the embryo). More recent character evolution studies have found that Monogynella, the sister group to the rest of Cuscuta, is quite distinct morphologically compared to other lineages, Pachystigma, Cuscuta, and Grammica (e.g. Wright et al. 2011; Riviere et al. 2013). However, a monogeneric concept of Cuscuta is backed up by its consistent and strongly supported monophyly within Convolvulaceae (Stefanović and Olmstead 2004). This approach is also practical, considering the minute morphological differences between the major groups of Cuscuta, which are treated as subgenera in this article.



FIG. 3. Examples of morphological characteristics of *Cuscuta* sections. A–C. Sect. *Cuscuta*. A. inflorescence, *C. approximata* subsp. *macranthera*. B. Flower, *C. epithymum* subsp. *kotschyi*. C. gynoecium, *C. epithymum* subsp. *epithymum*. D. Sect. *Epistigma*, gynoecium, *C. kotschyana*. E. Sect. *Babylonicae*, inflorescence, *C. babylonica*. F. Subg. *Pachystigma*, inflorescence, *C. africana*. G–H. Sect. *Grammica*. G. Flower, *C. alata*. H. Dissected calyx, *C. chinensis* subsp. *chinensis*. I–L. Sect. *Subulatae*. I. Inflorescence/flowers, *C. purpurata*. J. Dissected calyx, *C. foetida*. K. Papillate infrastaminal scale fimbriae, *C. rubella*. L. Gynoecium, *C. odorata*. M–N. Sect. *Obtusilobae*. M. flower, *C. americana*. N. Dissected calyx, *C. americana*. O. Sect. *Prismaticae*, flower, *C. prismaticae*, P–S. Sect. *Ceratophorae*. P. Flowers, *C. boldinghii*. R. Gynoecium, *C. boldinghii*. S. Dissected corolla, *C. boldinghii*. T–U. Sect. *Umbellatae*. T. Inflorescence fragment, *C. umbellata*. U. Capsule, *C. umbellata*. Scale bars = 1 mm.



FIG. 4. Examples of morphological characteristics of *Cuscuta* sections. A–C. Sect. *Gracillimae*. A. Inflorescence, *C. gracillima*. B. Flowers, *C. gracillima*.
C. Dissected calyx, *C. gracillima*. D–G. Sect. *Cleistogrammica*. D. Flower, *C. obtusiflora*. E. Dissected calyx, *C. obtusiflora*. F. Dissected corolla, *C. campestris*.
G. Capsule, *C. campestris*. H–I. Sect. *Californicae*. H. Flowers, *C. californica*. I. Dissected corolla, *C. californica*. J–K. Sect. *Indecorae*. J. Flower, *C. indecora* var. *indecora*. K. Dissected calyx, *C. indecora*. L–M. Sect. *Oxycarpae*. L. Flower, *C. granovii* var. gronovii. M. Capsule, *C. gronovii* var. gronovii. N–O. Sect. *Racemosae*. N. Flower, *C. racemosa*. O. Capsule, *C. racemosa*. P–R. Sect. *Partitae*. P. Flower, *C. burrellii*. R. Dissected calyx, *C. burrellii*. S–T. Sect. *Denticulatae*.
S. Dissected calyx, *C. denticulata*. T. Embryo, *C. denticulata*; U–W. Sect. *Lobostigmae*; U, 'typical' *Cuscuta* embryo included as a comparison for sect. *Denticulatae*. G. woodsonii. V. Flower, *C. tasmanica*. W. Gynoecium, *C. tasmanica*. Scale bars = 1 mm.

How Many Species of Cuscuta are Known to Exist?— Yuncker (1932) included 158 species in his monograph. Subsequent overview works commonly mentioned "about 150" species even if more species were described after 1932 (e.g. many included in Hunziker 1949, 1950; Yuncker 1965). It is unclear why GBIF (2014), EOL (2014), and the many sources following them, report a vague 100–170 number of species for this genus. Similarly, The Plant List (2014) included 127 Cuscuta species with "medium confidence" and 77 with "low confidence" (not even a single binomial has "high confidence" according to this source). This level of uncertainty is unacceptable in the case of *Cuscuta*, which unlike other genera has received a lot of attention from a systematic point of view, both past and present. To eliminate any ambiguity, we emphasize that we accepted 194 species, with more likely to be discovered in the future. In order to be useful, biodiversity lists must be based on systematics.

TAXONOMIC TREATMENT

Key to the Subgenera of Cuscuta

1.	Gynoecium with 1 style, sometimes distally bifid; fimbriae of infrastaminal scales are glandular trichomes with the secretory cells completely exposed	. I. Subg. Monogynella			
1. Gynoecium with 2 styles; fimbriae of infrastaminal scales with secretory cells [internal laticifers(s)]					
	protected by an epidermis				
	2. Stigmas elongate: conical, cylindrical, terete or clavate				
	2. Stigmas capitate	. IV. Subg. Grammica			
	3. Stigmas ± as thick as the styles	II. Subg. Cuscuta			
	3. Stigmas thicker than the styles	III. Subg. Pachystigma			

Cuscuta L., Sp. Pl.: 124. 1753.— TYPE: Cuscuta europaea L.
(N. L. Britton et A. Brown, Ill. Fl. N. U. S. ed. 2. 3: 48. 1913; Hitchcock, Prop. Brit. Bot. 126. 1929). Cassytha S. F. Gray, Nat. Arr. Brit. Pl. 2: 345. 1821, nom. illeg, non L., 1753.

Hemi- to holoparasitic herbs, annual or perennating through haustorial tissue left inside the host. Stems filiform, greenish, yellow, orange, or purple, trailing or dextrorsely twining and attached to the host by numerous small haustoria. Leaves rudimentary, alternate, scales. Inflorescence units monochazial cymes further grouped in thyrses or cymose inflorescences. Flowers bisexual, radial, (3-)4-5-merous, more or less fleshy, white, white-creamy, sometimes yellow or pink to purple; laticifers commonly present in all the flower organs, isolated or articulated, conspicuous or not; calyx and corolla gamopetalous; stamens alternating with the corolla lobes, anthers longitudinally dehiscent; pollen heteromorphic, commonly 3-zonocolpate (sometimes 5–12-zonocolpate), exine imperforate, perforate, microreticulate or reticulate; infrastaminal scales with secretory role usually present, scale-like appendages alternating with the corolla lobes, variously fimbriate, fused at the base and adnate with the base of the corolla tube; ovary superior, 2-locular, each locule with 2 anatropous ovules; styles 1 or 2, terminal, stigmas capitate to linear. Fruits capsules, circumscissile by a ± regular line near the base, irregularly dehiscent or indehiscent. Seeds 1-4 per capsule; hilum terminal or subterminal; endosperm nuclear; embryo uniformly slender, without cotyledons, 1-3-coiled, rarely globose-enlarged.

I. CUSCUTA SUBG. MONOGYNELLA (Des Moul.) Peter, Engl. & Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4(3): 38. 1891. Monogynella Des Moul., Étud. Cuscut. 65. 1853. Cuscuta ["Group"] Monogyna Engelm., Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis 1: 460. 1859, nom. inval. Cuscuta subg. Monogyna (Engelm.) Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 248. 1932, comb. superfl.—TYPE: Monogynella vahliana (Vahl.) Des Moul. (Cuscuta monogyna Vahl). Peter made no reference to Des Moulins's Monogynella but indicated that the taxonomic arrangement follows Engelmann. Since Engelmann stated that his "Group" Monogynella is based on Des Moulins's (genus) Monogynella, the basionym can be attributed unequivocally to Des Moulins. Figures 2A–B, G.

- Aplostylis Raf., Fl. Tellur. 4: 91. 1838.—TYPE: Aplostylis lupuliformis (Krock.) Raf. (≡ Cuscuta lupuliformis Krock.).
- Kadurias Raf., Fl. Tellur. 4: 91. 1838.—TYPE: Kadurias reflexa (Roxb.) Raf. (\equiv Cuscuta reflexa Roxb.).
- *Cuscuta* sect. *Callianche* Engelm., Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis 1: 518. 1859.—TYPE: *Cuscuta reflexa* Roxb.
- *Cuscuta* sect. *Monostylos* Maxim., Prim. Fl. Amur.: 200. 1859.—TYPE: *Cuscuta systyla* Maxim. (= *Cuscuta lupuliformis* Krock.).

Inflorescences \pm loose thyrses: spiciform, racemiform or paniculiform; bracts 1 at the base of cymes, 0–2 at the base of- and sometimes on the pedicels; pedicels absent to 10 mm long; infrastaminal scale fimbriae are glandular hairs with the secretory cells entirely exposed; pollen 25–37.2 µm long, 3–6(7)-colpate, tectum microreticulate to reticulate (exception: imperforate in *C. monogyna*); style 1, sometimes distally bifid; stigmas variable: globose, depressed-globose, flattened, ellipsoid, ovoid, obovoid, rectangle-shaped or conical. Capsules circumscissile dehiscent, interstylar aperture absent. Seeds dorso-ventrally compressed, with seed coat cells \pm rectangular and puzzle-like arranged, not alveolate/papillatae. Chromosome numbers: 2n = 28, 30, 32, 42, 48 (Vasudevan 1975; Kaul and Bhan 1977; Pazy and Plitmann 1995; García and Castroviejo 2003).

Note—Molecular data indicate that the recognition of sections in subg. *Monogynella* is unwarranted. Although *Monogynella* has relatively few species (see below), the diversity of its flower morphology is comparable with that of the much larger subg. *Grammica* (e.g. for pollen, Welsh et al. 2010; gynoecium, Wright et al. 2012; and infrastaminal scales, Riviere et al. 2013). This suggests that *Monogynella* species have experienced a high degree of transgressive segregation at the same time with the adaptive radiation that followed the evolution to parasitism in *Cuscuta*.

Included species—This section includes 15 species: C. bucharica* Palib. ex Fedschenko, C. cassytoides Nees, C. convallariiflora* Pavlov, C. engelmannii* Korsh, C. exaltata Engelm., C. japonica Choisy, C. gigantea Griff., C. lehmanniana Bunge, C. lophosepala* Butkov, C. lupuliformis Krock., C. macrolepis R. C. Fang & S. H. Huang*, C. monogyna Vahl, C. pamirica* Butkov, C. reflexa Roxb., and *C. violacea* Rajput & Syeda. *Cuscuta chittagongensis* Sengupta, M. Salar Khan & Huq described from Bangladesh (Sengupta et al. 1983) is a teratological form of *C. reflexa*. The most recent species described in this subgenus, *Monogynella tiricensis* (Chrtek 1997) from North Pakistan, appears to be closely related to *C. gigantea* Griff. In general, further research is necessary to test species limits and reveal evolutionary relationships within subg. *Monogynella*.

Distribution—Most species of subg. *Monogynella* are Eurasian; however, *C. cassytoides* is African and Southeastern Asian, while *C. exaltata* is North American. *Cuscuta japonica* and *C. reflexa* have been introduced recently elsewhere through seeds used in traditional medicinal products imported from Asia.

- II. CUSCUTA SUBG. CUSCUTA. Cuscuta ["Group"] Cuscuta Engelm., Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis 1: 459. 1859, nom. inval. Cuscuta subg. Genuina Hunz., Revista Fac. Ci. Exact. 13 (1): 239. 1950, nom. inval.—TYPE: Cuscuta europaea L.
- Lepimenes Raf., Fl. Tellur. 4: 91. 1838.—TYPE: Lepimenes epithymum Raf. (= ?Cuscuta europaea L.)
- *Epilinella* Pfeiff., Bot. Zeit. 3: 673. 1845.—TYPE: *Epilinella cuscutoides* Pfeiff. (\equiv *Cuscuta epilinum* Weihe).

- *Epithymum* Opiz, Sezman: 40. 1853.—TYPE: *Epithymum* cuscutoides Opiz (\equiv Cuscuta epithymum (L.) L.).
- Succuta Des Moul., Étud. Cuscut.: 74. 1853. Cuscuta subg. Succuta (Des Moul.) Yunck., Ill. Biol. Monogr. 6: 111. 1921.—TYPE: Succuta alba (C. Presl.) Des Moul. (= Cuscuta epithymum (L.) L.).

Inflorescences dense, glomerulate; bracts 1 at the base of the inflorescences, usually absent at the base of pedicels; pedicels absent to 4.0 mm long; infrastaminal scales fimbriae with internal laticifer(s); pollen grains $18-27 \mu m \log 3(12)$ -colpate, tectum imperforate (rarely perforate); styles 2, \pm equal or stigmas sessile (lacking styles); stigmas cylindrical, terete or clavate, \pm of the same thickness as the styles; capsules irregularly or circumscissile dehiscent or indehiscent; interstylar aperture small or inconspicuous; seeds angled to subglobose, seed coat cells alveolate when dry and papillose when hydrated. Chromosome numbers: 2n = 8, 10, 14, 16, 18, 20, 26, 28, 30, 32, 36, 42 (Pazy and Plitmann 1995; García and Castroviejo 2003). Figure 2C.

Subgenus *Cuscuta* includes 21 species (see sections below).

KEY TO THE SECTIONS OF CUSCUTA SUBG. CUSCUTA

1.	. Styles absent or stigmas on short apical ovary projections (rostrum)	Sect. Epistigma
1.	Styles present	
	2. Calyx not truncated, with well-developed lobes more than 0.5 mm long	Sect. Cuscuta
	2. Calyx truncated, without lobes or reduced to a short mucro up to 0.5 mm long	Sect. Babylonicae

- CUSCUTA SECT. CUSCUTA. Cuscuta sect. Eucuscuta Engelm., Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis 1: 460. 1859, nom. inval. Cuscuta subsect. Leptostylae Rouy, Fl. France 10: 355. 1908, nom. inval. Cuscuta subsect. Europaeae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 274. 1932, nom. inval.—TYPE: Cuscuta europaea L.
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Euepilinella* Rouy, Fl. France 10: 354. 1908, nom. inval.—TYPE.—*Epilinella cuscutoides* Pfeiff. (≡ *Cuscuta epilinum* Weihe.)
- Cuscuta subsect. Planiflorae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 280. 1932.—TYPE: Cuscuta planiflora Ten.

Inflorescence a dense or umbellate glomerule, with a single basal bract, generally with more than 2 flowers. Flowers 1.4-5.0 mm long, sessile or on pedicels up to 4.0 mm long; calyx cupulate to urceolate, shorter to longer than the corolla tube, divided 1/4 to nearly to the base, lobes broadly ovate to obovate, occasionally somewhat keeled, margins entire, apex subulate to obtuse or with a terminal or subterminal multicellular conical or subconical protuberance; corolla campanulate, tubular or urceolate, lobes shorter to longer than the tube, from broadly ovate to obovate, margins entire, apex subulate to obtuse, sometimes cucullate or with a terminal or subterminal multicellular conical or subconical protuberance; infrastaminal scales present, shorter to longer than corolla tube; pollen grains 3-colpate to 12-pantocolpate, 18-27 µm long, tectum imperforate (rarely perforate); styles cylindrical or somewhat subulate; stigmas cylindrical, terete or clavate, \pm of the same thickness as the styles. Capsule circumcissile dehiscent (indehiscent in C. triumvirati) globose to globose-depressed with a small to intermediate interstylar aperture. Figures 3A-C.

Note-Yuncker (1932) divided sect. Cuscuta in three subsections: Babylonicae, "Europeae" and Planiflorae. Whereas subsect. Babylonicae is accepted here as a different monotypic section (see below), Europaeae and Planiflorae are not recognised because they were based on highly homoplasious characters. The phylogeny of García and Martín (2007) showed that neither of the latter subsections was monophyletic, but three major clades were resolved for species of sect. Cuscuta. One of them included species distributed in Tropical Africa and SW of the Arabian Peninsula. A second clade included C. europaea, C. approximata, and C. balansae, and the rest of the species formed the third monophyletic group. The relationships between and within these three groups are discussed in García and Martín (2007) and more detailed revisions of particular groups within subg. Cuscuta can be found in García (1998, 1999, 2001).

Included Species-Section Cuscuta includes 15 species: C. abyssinica A. Rich., C. approximata Bab., C. balansae Boiss. & Reut., C. castroviejoi M. A. García, C. epilinum Weihe, C. epithymum (L.) L., C. europaea L., C. nivea M. A. García, C. palaestina Boiss., C. planiflora Ten., C. pretoriana Yunck., C. rausii M. A. García, C. rhodesiana Yunck., C. somaliensis Yunck., C. triumvirati Lange. Cuscuta mesatlantica Dobignard described from Morocco (Dobignard 2009) also belongs to this section but we could not examine the type or other specimens to confirm its validity. According to the description it may be a synonym of C. epithymum or C. approximata. Other species that have been recognized in local taxonomic treatments of the genus (e.g. Butkov 1953; Feinbrun 1972; Plitmann 1978) are here considered as synonyms. More detailed studies, however, may suggest the recognition of C. pellucida Butkov, C. kurdica Engelm., and C. maroccana Trab., which we considered synonyms of C. planiflora,

C. europaea, and *C. epithymum*, respectively. *Cuscuta obtusata* Engelm. and *C. letourneuxii* Trab., species accepted by Yuncker (1932), are known only from the type collections and lack clear distinctive morphological characters.

Distribution—Section *Cuscuta* evolved in Europe, Africa, and Asia, except the South East and Indian subcontinent. Species such as *C. approximata*, *C. epithymum*, and *C. planiflora* have been introduced and naturalized in the Americas, Australia, and New Zealand.

- CUSCUTA SECT. EPISTIGMA Engelm., Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis 1: 471. 1859.— TYPE: *Cuscuta pedicellata* Ledeb., here designated.
- Cuscuta sect. Clistococca Engelm., Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis 1: 473. 1859.—TYPE: Cuscuta capitata Roxb.

Inflorescence in a more or less dense or umbellate glomerule, with a single basal bract, generally with more than 2 flowers. Flowers 2.0-5.5(-6.5) mm long, sessile or on pedicels up to 5.0 mm long; calyx rotate or campanulate to urceolate, shorter to longer than the corolla tube, entire or divided 2/3 to the base, lobes broadly ovate to triangular or absent (calyx truncate in C. haussknechtii), somewhat keeled and fleshy to the apex, margins entire or slightly denticulate, apex subulate to obtuse; corolla campanulate, tubular or urceolate, lobes shorter to longer than the tube, from broadly ovate to obovate, margins entire, apex subulate to obtuse; infrastaminal scales present, shorter to longer than corolla tube; pollen data not available; styles lacking; stigmas cylindrical or subulate. Capsule irregularly dehiscent (indehiscent in C. capitata) globose to globose-depressed with a small to intermediate interstylar aperture. Figure 3D.

Note—This section includes *C. capitata*, which Engelmann (1859) and Yuncker (1932) included in a monotypic section, *Clistococca*, based on its indehiscent fruits. Fruit dehiscence is highly homoplastic in *Cuscuta* and indehiscent fruits are also present in other species of the type subgenus, such as in *C. triumvirati*. At a superficial examination, the styles of *C. capitata* appear developed but their conical morphology, together with the pyriform shape of the ovary indicate that they are apical projections of the ovary (rostrum) rather that true styles. Moreover, a similar morphology of the upper part of the ovary is present in other species traditionally included in sect. *Epistigma*, such as *C. pedicellata* or *C. kotschyana*. Given the topology of the phylogenetic trees obtained by García and Martín (2007) and García et al. (2014) we consider *C. capitata* as a member of sect. *Epistigma*.

Included Species—Section Epistigma includes five species: C. capitata Roxb., C. haussknechtii Yunck., C. kotschyana Boiss., C. pedicellata Ledeb., C. pulchella Engelm.

Distribution—Section *Epistigma* is distributed in Central and Southwest Asia, as well as in Northeast Africa.

 Cuscuta sect. Babylonicae (Yunck.) M. A. García, stat nov. Cuscuta subsect. Babylonicae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 273. 1932.—TYPE: Cuscuta babylonica Aucher ex Choisy.

Inflorescence a loose umbellate glomerule, with a single basal bract, generally with 1-4 (-15) flowers. Flowers 2.5–3.5 mm long, on pedicels up to 7 mm long; calyx obconic or campanulate, shorter or as long as the corolla tube, truncated and entire, lobes absent or reduced to acute and erect-spreading projections up to 0.5 mm long; corolla tubular

or campanulate in anthesis and urceolate in fruit, lobes about as long as the tube, ovate to oblong, obtuse, margins entire; infrastaminal scales present, shorter than corolla tube, with very short or almost absent fimbriae; pollen grains 3(4)-colpate, 17–19 μ m long, tectum imperforate; styles cylindrical or somewhat subulate; stigmas long subulate or subcylindric, \pm of the same thickness as the styles. Capsule irregularly dehiscent, subglobose to globose-depressed with a small to intermediate interstylar aperture. Figure 3E.

Note-The ITS phylogeny obtained by García and Martín (2007) placed C. babylonica sister to the species of sect. *Epistigma*. This relationship was not resolved in the *trnL* intron phylogeny in the same study but the forced topology of this species as sister to the species of sect. Cuscuta was not rejected by a Shimodaira-Hasegawa test (García and Martín 2007). Some characters, such as the development of the first buds of the glomerules into floral branches instead of flowers and the fruit dehiscent by an irregular line, suggest a relationship of this species with sect. Epistigma. However, the developed styles which characterize sect. Cuscuta bring C. babylonica closer to this latter group of species. Its characteristic morphology and the unclear phylogenetic relationships are the reasons to propose a monotypic section for this species. Cuscuta babylonica has the lowest chromosome number known in the genus (2n = 8) and the longest chromosomes known in subg. *Cuscuta* (up to 8 µm; Pazy and Plitmann 1987).

Included Species—Sect. *Babylonicae* is monotypic including only *C. babylonica* Aucher ex Choisy.

Distribution—Cuscuta *babylonica* is distributed in Central and West Asia but more common in Iraq.

III. CUSCUTA SUBGEN. PACHYSTIGMA (Engelm.) Baker & C. H. Wright, Thiselton-Dyer (ed.), Fl. Cap. 4(2): 84. 1904. *Cuscuta* sect. *Pachystigma* Engelm., Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis 1: 474. 1859. *Cuscuta* subsect. *Africanae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 263. 1932.—TYPE: *Cuscuta africana* Willd. *Cuscuta* subsect. *Cucullatae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 263. 1932.—TYPE: *Cuscuta* cucullata Yunck. (= *Cuscuta* gerrardii Baker).

Inflorescence \pm loose paniculiform, bracts 1 at the base of clusters, 1 at the base of pedicels; pedicels to 8 mm long; infrastaminal scales fimbriae with internal laticifer(s); pollen grains 3(4)-colpate, 22–30 µm long, tectum imperforate to perforate; styles 2, equal or slightly unequal, cylindrical, oblong or conical, thicker than the styles. Capsules circumscissile, interstylar aperture inconspicuous; seed coat cells commonly alveolate when dried and papillose when hydrated. Figures 2D, 3F.

Note—Although *Pachystigma* was proposed as a subgenus by Baker and Wright (1904) more than a century ago, this is the first time it is accepted (see Introduction). No morphological characters have been found to further divide this small subgenus into different sections. The tree topologies obtained by García et al. (2014) also indicate that the recognition of sections in subg. *Pachystigma* is unnecessary. Yuncker (1932) recognized the monotypic subsect. *Cucullatae* based on the indehiscent fruits of *C. cucullata*. Yuncker mentioned that *C. gerrardii* may also belong to that subsection. After studying the types and a few more recent collections we consider that *C. cucullata* and *C. gerrardii* are conspecific.

Included Species—Subgenus *Pachystigma* includes five species: *C. africana* Willd., *C. angulata* Engelm., *C. gerrardii* Baker, *C. natalensis* Baker, *C. nitida* E. Mey. ex Choisy.

Distribution—Subgenus Pachystigma is confined to South Africa and it is more common in the coastal regions of Western Cape, Eastern Cape, and Natal provinces. Cuscuta gerrardii also occurs in Swaziland.

- IV. CUSCUTA SUBG. GRAMMICA (Lour.) Peter, Engl. & Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 4(3): 38. 1891. Grammica Lour. Fl. Cochinch. 170. 1790 ["Grmmica"].—TYPE: Grammica aphylla Lour. (= *Cuscuta chinensis* Lam.).
- Anthanema Raf., Fl. Tellur. 4: 90. 1838.-TYPE: Anthanema paradoxa (Raf.) Raf. (\equiv Cuscuta paradoxa Raf.; designated by Manitz 1976)
- Dactylepis Raf. Fl. Tellur. 4: 125. 1838.-TYPE: Dactylepis brownei Raf. (= ?Cuscuta americana L.; Yuncker 1932)
- Eronema Raf. Fl. Tellur. 4: 125. 1838.— TYPE: Eronema robinsoni Raf. (= ?Cuscuta americana L.; Yuncker 1932)
- Kadula Raf., Fl. Tellur. 4: 90. 1838.-TYPE: Kadula corymbosa (Ruiz & Pav.) Raf. (\equiv Cuscuta corymbosa Ruiz & Pav.).
- Nemepis Raf., Fl. Tellur. 4: 91. 1838.— TYPE: Nemepis odorata (Ruiz & Pav.) Raf. (= Cuscuta odorata Ruiz & Pav., designated by Manitz 1976)
- Pentake Raf., Fl. Tellur. 4: 90. 1838.-TYPE: Pentake chinensis (Lam.) Raf. (\equiv *Cuscuta chinensis* Lam.).
- Lepidanche Engelm., Amer. J. Sci. Arts 43: 343. 1842.-TYPE: Lepidanche compositarum Engelm. (= Cuscuta glomerata Choisy).
- Engelmannia Pfeiff., Bot. Zeitung (Berlin) 3: 673. 1845.-TYPE: Engelmannia migrans Pfeiff. (= Cuscuta suaveolens Ser.).

- Cuscutina Pfeiff., Bot. Zeitung (Berlin) 4: 492. 1846.—TYPE: Cuscutina suaveolens Pfeiff. (= Cuscuta suaveolens Ser.). Also proposed as a substitute name for *Engelmannia*.
- Pfeifferia Buching. in Ann. Sci. Nat., Bot., sér. 3, 5: 88. 1846.—TYPE: Pfeifferia suaveolens Buching. (= Cuscuta suaveolens Ser.).
- Buchingera F. W. Schultz, Jahrb. Pract. Pharm. Verwandte Fächer 14: 170. 1847.—TYPE: Buchingera suaveolens F.W. Schultz. (= Cuscuta suaveolens Ser.). Buchingera was proposed as a substitute name both for Engelmannia Pfeiff. 1845, non A. Gray ex Nuttall 1840 (Asteraceae) and for Pfeifferia J. D. Buchinger 1846, non Pfeifferia Salm-Dyck 1845 (Cactaceae).
- Cuscuta ["Group"] Grammica Engelm., Trans. Acad. Sci. St Louis 1(3): 459. 1859, nom. inval.

Inflorescences dense to loose, glomerulate, paniculiform, umbelliform, corymbiform, fasciculate or racemiform; bracts 1-3 at the base of the clusters, 0-10 at the base of- and on the pedicels; pedicels absent to 12 mm; infrastaminal scales fimbriae with internal laticifer(s), very variable, sometimes entirely reduced; pollen grains 13-30 µm long, 3(4)-colpate, tectum imperforate, perforate, microreticulate (7-8-colpate and reticulate in some species of sect. Subulatae); styles 2, ± unequal; stigmas capitate (globose, depressed, flattened or ellipsoid); capsules circumscissile dehiscent or indehiscent; interstylar aperture inconspicuous to large; seeds globose to ovoid, angled or dorsoventrally compressed; seed coat cells commonly alveolate when dried and papillose when hydrated. Chromosome numbers: 2*n* = 28, 30, 32, 38, 44, 56, 60 (Fogelberg 1938; Pazy and Plitmann 1995; García and Castroviejo 2003). Figures 2E–F, H.

Subgenus Grammica includes 153 species (see sections below).

KEY TO THE SECTIONS OF SUBGENUS GRAMMICA

1. Embryo spherically enlarged					Sect. Denticulatae						
1.	Embryo filiform, not spherically enlarged										
	2.	Styles	thick, 0.3	–0.9 mm	n in diameter, cylindrical or subulate; pollen grains with microreticulate or						
		reti	culate exir	ne (excep	ptions with imperforate or tectum perforate are possible); stigmas large						
		(0.2	5–0.6 mm	in diam	neter) and/or lobed						
		3. C	alyx and/	or coroll	la lobes with conical or cylindrical subterminal, dorsal multicellular appendages	Sect. Ceratophorae					
		3. C	orolla lobe	es withou	ut conical or cylindrical appendages; when appendages are present on the calyx,						
			they are c	rest-like	e along the midvein/carena of lobes						
		4.	Fimbria	e of infra	rastaminal scales often papillatae; S America (2 sp. in Africa)	Sect. Subulatae					
		4.	Fimbria	e of infra	rastaminal scales not papillatae; N America (2 sp. in Australia)	Sect. Lobostigmae					
	2.	Styles	thin, 0.1–	0.2 mm	in diameter, cylindrical; pollen grains with perforate or imperforate tectum;						
		stig	mas small	(0.1-0.2	25 mm in diameter), not lobed						
		5. Fl	owers elo	ngated; d	calyx and corolla divided ca. 1/4 the length						
		6.	Calyx c	ylindrica	al viewed in cross-section; infrastaminal scales 3/4 to equalling corolla tube	Sect. Obtusilobae					
		6.	Calyx p	entagona	al viewed in cross-section; infrastaminal scales 1/2 of the corolla tube	Sect. Prismaticae					
		5. Fl	owers mo								
			(if flowers	s are elo	ngated, calyx and corolla are deeply divided)						
		7.	Capsule	es dehisc	cent [indehiscent in C. vandevenderi, C. columbiana (sect. Gracillimae), C. acuta,						
			and C	2. membra	anacea (sect. Umbellatae); C. yucatana (sect. Grammica)]						
			8. Infl	orescenc	ce glomerulate (umbellate in <i>C. yucatana</i> but fruit indehiscent)	Sect. Grammica					
			8. Infl	orescenc	ces umbellate or corymbiform (glomerulate in <i>C. punana,</i> sect. <i>Gracillimae</i> ;						
			С	. odontole	lepis, sect. Umbellatae)						
								9.	Stems di	isappear at flowering time and inflorescences seem to emerge from the host's stems	Sect. Gracillimae
			9.	Some ste	ems persist at flowering time and bear inflorescences	Sect. Umbellatae					
				7.	Capsule	e indehis	scent (dehiscent in <i>C. partita</i> of sect. <i>Partitae</i>)				
			10. Ca	lyx lobes	s acute						
			11.	Flowers	s with numerous bracts	Sect. Oxycarpae (in part)					
			11.	Flowers	s with 0–1 bracts						
					12. Co	orolla lobes inflexed	Sect. Indecorae				
				12. Co	orolla lobes straight						
				13.	. Calyx divided nearly to the base; S America	Sect. Partitae					
				13.	. Calix divided 1/2–2/3; Western N America	Sect. Californicae					

10. Calyx lobes obtuse or ro	unded	
14. Inflorescence glomer	ulate; corolla lobes inflexed; capsule depressed-globose,	
not narrowed distal	lly, with conspicuous interstylar aperture	Sect. Cleistogrammica
Inflorescence panicu	liform; corolla lobes straight; capsule globose narrowed	-
or thickened apicall	ly; interstylar aperture inconspicuous	
15. North America		Sect. Oxycarpae (in part)
15. South America		Sect. Racemosae

- CUSCUTA SECT. GRAMMICA. Cuscuta sect. "Eugrammica" Engelm., Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis 1: 476. 1859, in part, nom. inval.—TYPE: Grammica aphylla Lour. (= Cuscuta chinensis Lam.).
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Obtusilobae* Engelm., Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis 1: 476. 1859, in part.—TYPE: *Cuscuta americana* L., here designated.
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Tinctoriae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 208. 1932, in part (only *C. chinensis* Lam.).—TYPE: *Cuscuta tinctora* Mart.
- Cuscuta subsect. Odontolepisae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 226. 1932, in part (C. dentatasquamata Yunck, C. potosina W. Schaffn. ex Engelm.).—TYPE: Cuscuta odontolepis Engelm.
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Acutae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 152. 1932, in part (*C. palustris* Yunck. and *C. yucatana* Yunck).—TYPE: *Cuscuta acuta* Engelm.

Inflorescence glomerulate (umbellate in C. *yucatana*). Flowers 1.5-4 mm long; calyx cupulate, ca. as long as the corolla tube, divided 1/2-2/3 to the base, lobes broadly triangular-ovate, carinate or with stomatiferous multicellular protuberances along mid-veins, margins entire, apex acute to \pm obtuse; corolla campanulate; lobes equaling the tube, apex acute to \pm obtuse, not inflexed; infrastaminal scales present, equaling or longer than corolla tube; pollen grains 3(4)-colpate, tectum imperforate; styles cylindrical, thin (0.1–0.2 mm in diameter); stigmas globose (0.1–0.25 mm in diameter). Fruit dehiscent (indehiscent in *C. yucatana*) globose to globose-depressed (ovoid in *C. potosina*), with small interstylar aperture. Figures 3G–H.

Note—The composition of this group is essentially new because its species were included by Yuncker (1932, 1965) in three different subsections (Fig. 1): *C. applanata* and *C. chinensis* in subsect. *Tinctoriae; C. potosina* in subsect. *Odontolepisae* (both subsections classified in sect. *Eugrammica;* Yuncker 1932), and *C. yucatana* in subsect. *Acutae* (sect. *Cleistogrammica;* Yuncker 1932). Costea et al. (2011b) added to this clade a new species, *C. azteca,* and reinstated *C. alata,* previously considered synonymous to *C. applanata* (Yuncker 1932, 1965). Moreover, Costea et al. (2011b) found *C. chinensis* and *C. applanata* to represent one single species.

Included Species—Section *Grammica* includes seven species: *C. alata* Brandegee, *C. azteca* Costea & Stefanović, *C. chinensis* Lam., *C. dentatasquamata* Yunck.*, *C. palustris* Yunck.*, *C. potosina* W. Schaffn. ex Engelm., and *C. yucatana* Yunck.

Distribution—Section *Grammica* is distributed in Southern U. S. A. and Mexico, with *C. chinensis* var. *chinensis* having a disjunct distribution in Australia and Asia, most likely as a result of relatively recent long-distance dispersal (Costea et al. 2011b).

 Cuscuta sect. Subulatae (Engelm.) Costea & Stefanović, stat. nov. Cuscuta subsect. Subulatae Engelm., Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis 1: 476. 1859, in part (all the species except *C. jalapensis*).—TYPE: *Cuscuta grandiflora* Kunth, here designated. *Cuscuta* subsect. *Grandiflorae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 183. 1932.—TYPE: *Cuscuta grandiflora* Kunth

- Cuscuta subsect. Odoratae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 188. 1932.—TYPE: Cuscuta odorata Ruiz & Pav.
- Cuscuta subsect. Odontolepisae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 226. 1932, in part (C. cockerellii and C. hitchcockii).— TYPE: Cuscuta odontolepis Engelm.
- Cuscuta subsect. Acutilobae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 201. 1932, in part (all spp. except C. xanthochortos Mart.).—TYPE: Cuscuta acutiloba Engelm.
- Cuscuta subsect. Oxycarpae Engelm., Acad. Sci. St. Louis 1: 499. 1859, in part (only C. cristata Engelm.).—TYPE: Cuscuta gronovii Willd., here designated.
- Cuscuta subsect. Platycarpae Engelm. ex Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 124. 1932, in part (only *C. cristata* Engelm.).
 —TYPE: Cuscuta obtusiflora Kunth, here designated.
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Lepidanchiopsis* Yunck., Ill. Biol. Monogr. 6: 119. 1921, in part (all spp. except *C. strobilacea* Liebm.).— TYPE: *Cuscuta bracteata* Engelm., here designated.
- Cuscuta subsect. Denticulatae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 170. 1932, in part (only *C. microstyla* Engelm.).— TYPE: Cuscuta denticulata Engelm.

Inflorescences glomerulate (fasciculate or umbellate in *C. grandiflora, C. argentinana, C. friesii*). Flowers are among the largest in subg. *Grammica,* 4-9 mm, often fleshy, thick and sometimes fragrant (exceptions: *C. argentinana* and *C. microstyla* have relatively small flowers, 2-3 mm long); calyx cupulate to campanulate, 1/4 to equalling corolla tube, divided 1/2-2/3, with lobes acute to rounded, overlapping or not; corolla cupulate, globose or cylindrical with lobes acute to rounded; infrastaminal scales diverse (absent in *C. grandiflora*), commonly with papillae on the fimbriae; pollen grains 3(4) or 6-7-colpate, tectum imperforate, perforate, microreticulate, or reticulate; styles cylindrical, thick (0.2–0.9 mm in diameter), stigmas large (0.25–0.6 mm in diameter), usually lobed; fruit dehiscent (indehiscent in *C. cristata*). Figures 3I–L.

Note—Subulatae is the largest section of subg. *Grammica* and of *Cuscuta* in general. The group included in Engelmann's view all the species with thick and/or subulate styles regardless of the shape of their calyx/corolla lobes. With the exception of *C. jalapensis* which does not belong to this clade (see section *Lobostigmae*), this is also largely the species composition of clade O that resulted from our phylogenetic studies (Stefanović et al. 2007; García et al. 2014). Yuncker (1932), however, left *C. jalapensis* in subsection *Subulatae* together with several newly described related species with subulate styles from Mexico, which belong to section *Lobostigmae*, and redistributed the species of Engelmann's subsect. *Subulatae* among three new subsections (*Grandiflorae*,

Odoratae, and *Acutilobae*; Fig. 1). Several species with numerous bracts at the base of the flower, a morphological trait that also evolved in the sections *Ceratophorae* and *Obtusiflorae*, were grouped by Yuncker (1921) in a new section, *Lepidanchopsis*. *Cuscuta cristata*, which is also a part of sect. *Subulatae*, was included by both Engelmann (1859) and Yuncker (1932) in sect. *Cleistogrammica* because of its indehiscent capsules.

Included Species-Section Subulatae includes 29 species and possibly two additional ones that need confirmation (see below): C. acutiloba Engelm.*, C. alatoloba Yunck.*, C. argentinana Yunck., C. bella Yunck., C. boliviana Yunck., C. bracteata Engelm.*, C. chilensis Ker Gawl., C. cockerellii Yunck., C. cristata Engelm., C. foetida Kunth, C. friesii Yunck., C. flossdorfii Hicken, C. goyaziana Yunck.*, C. hitchcockii*, C. kilimanjari Oliv., C. grandiflora Kunth, C. globiflora Engelm., C. lucidicarpa Yunck., C. microstyla Engelm., C. odorata Ruiz & Pav., C. orbiculata Yunck., C. paitana Yunck., C. parodiana Yunck., C. pycnantha Benth., C. purpurata Phil., C. rotundiflora Hunz., C. rubella Yunck., C. serrata Yunck.*, C. tucumana Yunck.*. Based on their morphology, C. blepharolepis Welw. ex Hiern*, C. rustica Hunz., known only from their types, may also be a part of this clade but we were not as confident about their placement as in the case of the rest of the species.

Distribution—Section Subulatae is South American except for *C. kilimanjari* and possibly *C. blepharolepis*, which are African. Biogeographical scenarios of long-distance dispersal in subg. *Grammica* were discussed by García et al. (2014).

 Cuscuta sect. Obtusilobae (Engelm.) Costea & Stefanović, stat nov. Cuscuta subsect. Obtusilobae Engelm., Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis 1: 479. 1859, in part.—TYPE: Cuscuta americana L., here designated. Cuscuta subsect. Americanae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 217. 1932.—TYPE: Cuscuta americana L.

Inflorescences glomerulate or dense paniculiform. Flowers 3–7 mm long; calyx cylindrical (round in cross-section), 3/4 to equalling corolla tube, divided ca. 1/4 the length, with rounded lobes; corolla cylindrical, lobes ca. 1/4 of the corolla tube, rounded; infrastaminal scales 3/4 to equaling corolla tube; pollen grains 3(4)-colpate, tectum imperforate (perforate in *C. macrocephala*); styles cylindrical, thin; stigmas small. Fruit dehiscent, globose to ovoid. Figures 3M–N.

Note—Engelmann (1859) circumscribed Subsect. *Obtusilobae* to include many more species; our delimitation approaches his informal (unranked) subgroup of taxa with "elongated flowers". Yuncker (1932) maintained this core of species as a subsection, *Americanae* (Fig. 1).

Included Species—Section *Obtusilobae* includes four species: *C. americana* L., *C. cozumeliensis* Yunck., *C. globulosa* Benth., and *C. macrocephala* W. Schaffn. ex Yunck.

Distribution—The section is distributed in the U. S. A. (Florida), Mexico, West Indies, Central and South America.

 Cuscuta sect. Prismaticae (Yunck.) Costea & Stefanović, stat nov. *Cuscuta* subsect. *Prismaticae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 225. 1932.—TYPE: *Cuscuta prismatica* Pav. ex Choisy.

Inflorescences glomerulate or dense paniculiform. Flowers 4-7 mm long; calyx campanulate-cylindrical, angled (pentagonal in cross-section), 1/2-3/4 of the corolla tube, divided ca. 1/4 of its length, with rounded or acute lobes; corolla cylindrical, lobes ca. 1/4 of the corolla tube, rounded or acute; infrastaminal scales ca. 1/2 of the corolla tube; pollen grains 3(4)-colpate, tectum imperforate; styles cylindrical, thin; stigmas small. Fruit dehiscent, globose. Figure 3O.

Note—Subsection *Prismaticae* included originally only *C. prismatica* (Yuncker, 1932). We have found that this species is closely related to *C. corymbosa*, not to *C. americana* (Stefanović et al. 2007; García et al. 2014) and its relatives as suggested by both Engelmann (1859) and Yuncker (1932). This section resembles morphologically sect. *Obtusilobae* but differs in the pentagonal calyx (lobes are flat forming the faces of a pentagonal prism) and infrastaminal scales ca. 1/2 the length of the corolla tube.

Included Species—Section *Prismaticae* includes only two species: *C. corymbosa* Ruiz & Pav. and *C. prismatica* Pav. ex Choisy.

Distribution—Members of this section are distributed in Mexico, Central, and South America.

- Cuscuta sect. Ceratophorae (Yunck.) Costea & Stefanović, stat. nov. Cuscuta subsect. Ceratophorae Yunck., Ill. Biol. Monogr. 6: 116. 1921.—TYPE: Cuscuta boldinghii Urban (= C. ceratophora Yunck.).
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Lepidanchopsis* Yunck., Ill. Biol. Monogr. 6: 119. 1921, in part (only *C. strobilacea* Liebm.).—TYPE: *Cuscuta strobilacea* Liebm., here designated.
- Cuscuta subsect. Odontolepisae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 226. 1932, in part. (C. costaricensis Yunck., C. ortegana Yunck.).—TYPE: C. odontolepis Engelm.
- Cuscuta subsect. Tinctoriae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 208. 1932, in part (C. mexicana Yunck.).—TYPE: Cuscuta tinctoria Mart.

Inflorescences glomerulate to corymbiform. Flowers 3– 7 mm long; calyx cupulate, campanulate or tubular ca. 1/2 to longer than corolla tube, divided nearly to the base, with entire or variously denticulate lobes; corolla tube campanulate to cylindrical (ovoid to urceolate in *C. carnosa*), lobes acute to rounded. Both calyx and corolla lobes commonly with dorsal, subterminal conical or cylindrical multicellular appendages with stomata (absent on the corolla of *C. carnosa*); infrastaminal scales diverse; pollen grains 3(4)-colpate, tectum imperforate, perforate or microreticulate; gynoecia with thick, subulate or cylindrical styles and large, globose stigmas. Fruit dehiscent. Figures 3P–S.

Note—In the past, subsect. *Ceratophorae* comprised only four species: *C. chapalana*, *C. erosa*, *C. boldinghii*, and *C. blepharolepis* (Yuncker 1921; 1932). *Cuscuta blepharolepis*, known only from its type, could not be sampled for the molecular studies but based on its morphology is more likely to be a member of section *Subulatae*. Our results have shown that four other species, *C. strobilacea*, *C. mexicana*, *C. costaricensis*, and *C. ortegana*, previously included by Yuncker (1932, 1965) in the subsections *Lepidanchopsis*, *Tinctoriae*, and *Odontolepisae*, are also members of sect. *Ceratophorae* (Fig. 1; Stefanović et al. 2007), together with two new species, *C. bonafortunae* and *C. carnosa* (Costea et al. 2011a).

Included Species—Section Ceratophorae comprises nine species: C. boldinghii Urb., C. bonafortunae Costea & I. García, C. carnosa Costea and Stefanović, C. chapalana Yunck., C. costaricensis Yunck., C. erosa Yunck., C. mexicana Yunck., C. ortegana Yunck., and C. strobilacea Liebm.

Distribution—The section is distributed in Southern U. S. A., Mexico, West Indies, Central America, and Northern South America.

- Cuscuta sect. Umbellatae (Yunck.) Costea & Stefanović, stat. nov. *Cuscuta* subsect. *Umbellatae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 234. 1932, in part (see Note)—TYPE: *Cuscuta umbellata* Kunth.
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Leptanthae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 242. 1932.—TYPE: *Cuscuta leptantha* Yunck.
- Cuscuta subsect. Odontolepisae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 226. 1932, in part (C. odontolepis Engelm.).—TYPE: Cuscuta odontolepis Engelm.
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Acutae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 152. 1932, in part (*C. acuta* Engelm. and *C. membranacea* Yunck.).—TYPE: *Cuscuta acuta* Engelm.

Inflorescences umbelliform or fasciculate. Flowers 2–5 mm long (to 7.5 mm in *C. polyanthemos*); calyx campanulate to cylindrical, 1/4 to equaling the length of the corolla tube, divided 1/3–1/2 the length, with acute lobes; corolla tube campanulate to cylindrical with acute lobes; infrastaminal scales commonly equalling corolla tube (absent in *C. hyalina*); pollen grains 3(4)-colpate, tectum imperforate or perforate; styles cylindrical, thin; stigmas globose, small. Fruit dehiscent (indehiscent in *C. acuta* and *C. membranacea*). Figures 3T–U.

Note-The evolutionary history of this section involved hybridization and long-distance dispersal (Stefanović and Costea 2008; Costea and Stefanović 2010). Section Umbellatae includes in our view a part of the former subsect. Umbellatae in which Yuncker (1932, 1965) grouped nine species characterized by umbellate inflorescences and dehiscent capsules (C. umbellata, C. deltoidea, C. desmouliniana, C. fasciculata, C. gracillima, C. hyalina, C. lacerata, C. macvaughii, C. saccharata, and C. serruloba). Phylogenetic studies indicated a need for radical reorganization of this group. First, C. gracillima, C. sidarum (= C. saccharata), and C. deltoidea (= C. serruloba) form a separate infrageneric group (see sect. Gracillimae; Stefanović et al. 2007; Costea et al. 2008a). Second, five other species previously included in various sections and subsections of subg. Grammica are also a part of this clade/section (Stefanović et al. 2007; Costea and Stefanović 2010; Fig. 1). Two of these, C. acuta and C. membranacea, have indehiscent capsules (previously included in sect. Eugrammica, subsect. Acutae; Yuncker 1932; Hunziker 1949), while the rest have circumscissile capsules: C. odontolepis (formerly in sect. Eugrammica, subsect. Odontolepisae; Yuncker 1932), C. tuberculata, C. leptantha, and C. polyanthemos (formerly in sect. Eugrammica, subsect. Leptanthae; Yuncker 1932). Finally, two new species, C. liliputana and C. legitima, were recently described from southern U. S. A. and Mexico (Stefanović and Costea 2008; Costea and Stefanović 2010). Cuscuta appendiculata from South Africa was included by Yuncker (1932) in subsection Acutae. To date, in subg. Grammica, only the sections Subulatae and Umbellatae are known to have representatives in Africa. Morphologically, C. appendiculata may belong to sect. Umbellatae but we could not sample it for the molecular studies. A closer examination of the specimens used by Stefanović et al. (2007) for C. appendiculata revealed that they belong to C. gerrardii (García et al. 2014).

Included Species—Section Umbellatae comprises 13 species: C. acuta Engelm., ?C. appendiculata Engelm.*, C. desmouliniana Yunck., C. hyalina Roth, C. lacerata Yunck.*, C. legitima Costea & Stefanović, C. leptantha Engelm., C. liliputana Costea & Stefanović, C. membranacea Yunck., C. odontolepis Engelm., *C. polyanthemos* W. Schaff. ex Yunck., *C. tuberculata* Brandegee, and *C. umbellata* Kunth.

Distribution—Section *Umbellatae* is distributed in Southern U. S. A., Mexico, and West Indies (8 sp.); South America (3 sp.); Asia, East and Southeast Africa (*C. hyalina* and possibly *C. appendiculata*).

- 10. **Cuscuta** sect. **Gracillimae** Costea & Stefanović, sect. nov.—TYPE: *Cuscuta gracillima* Engelm.
- Cuscuta subsect. Umbellatae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 234. 1932, in part (see Note).—TYPE: Cuscuta umbellata Kunth
- Cuscuta subsect. Odontolepisae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 226. 1932, in part (only *C. choisiana* Yunck.).—TYPE: *Cuscuta odontolepis* Engelm.
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Californicae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 156. 1932 (in part, only *C. insquamata* Yunck.).— TYPE: *Cuscuta californica* Choisy.

Resembling morphologically sect. *Umbellatae* but differing in its stems that disappear at flowering stage when the parasite is often represented only by the spherical umbelliform inflorescences that emerge directly from the host's stem.

Inflorescences umbelliform or corymbiform. Flowers 1.6– 5.5 mm long; calyx campanulate to cylindrical, equaling or exceeding the corolla tube, divided 1/3-1/2 the length, lobes acute; corolla tube campanulate to cylindrical with acute lobes; infrastaminal scales equalling or exceeding corolla tube; pollen grains 3(4)-colpate, tectum imperforate or perforate; styles cylindrical, thin; stigmas globose, small. Fruit dehiscent (indehiscent in *C. vandevenderi*). Figures 4A–C.

Note—Section *Gracillimae* is a segregate of the former subsect. *Umbellatae* (see above; Yuncker 1932; Costea et al. 2008a). The position of *C. macvaughii* remained unresolved in our phylogeny (García et al. 2014): while the parsimony analysis placed this species as sister to sect. *Gracillimae*, a sister relationship with sect. *Indecorae* was recovered in Bayesian and maximum likelihood trees, however, in all cases with low support (García et al. 2014). Morphologically, this species shares strong affinities with both sections but the morphology of inflorescences brings *C. macvaughii* closer to section *Gracillimae*.

Included Species—Section Gracillimae includes nine species: C. choisiana Yunck.*, C. gracillima Engelm., C. colombiana Yunck., C. deltoidea Yunck., C. insquamata Yunck.*, C. macvaughii Yunck., C. punana Costea & Stefanović, C. sidarum Liebm., C. vandevenderi Costea & Stefanović.

Distribution—Members of sect. *Gracillimae* are distributed in Mexico, Central, and South America.

- CUSCUTA SECT. CLEISTOGRAMMICA Engelm. ["Clistogrammica"], Trans. Acad. Sci. St Louis 1: 490. 1859. Cuscuta subsect. Platycarpae Engelm. ex Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 124. 1932, in part (all spp. except C. cristata Engelm. and C. victoriana Yunck.).—TYPE: Cuscuta obtusiflora Kunth, here designated. Cuscuta subsect. Obtusiflorae Hunz., Revista Fac. Ci. Exact. 12 (4): 1124–1125. 1949.—TYPE: Cuscuta obtusiflora Kunth
- Cuscuta subsect. Arvenses Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 134. 1932.—TYPE: Cuscuta pentagona Engelm., here designated.
- Cuscuta subsect. Indecorae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 161. 1932, in part (only *C. stenolepis* Engelm.).—TYPE: Cuscuta indecora Choisy

Cuscuta subsect. *Californicae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 156. 1932 (in part, only *C. sandwichiana* Choisy).— TYPE: *Cuscuta californica* Choisy.

Inflorescences glomerulate to dense paniculiform or corymbiform. Flowers 2–4 mm long; calyx cupulate to campanulate, equalling corolla tube, divided 1/2–2/3 the length; lobes obtuse or rounded; corolla campanulate, corolla lobes obtuse to acute, inflexed or cucullate; infrastaminal scales equalling or exceeding corolla tube (undergoing a reduction trend in *C. australis*); pollen grains 3(6)-colpate, tectum imperforate or perforate; styles cylindrical, thin; stigmas globose, small. Fruit indehiscent, depressed-globose or globose with the withered corolla remaining around it, or at the base. Figures 4D–G.

Note—Engelmann's (1859) delimitation of sect. *Cleistogrammica* included all the *Grammica* species with indehiscent capsules with the exception of *C. tasmanica*. In its current composition, this section groups together most of the species placed by Yuncker (1932) in two subsections: *Platycarpae* and *Arvenses* (Fig. 1). The evolution of this group involved hybridization and long-distance dispersal (Stefanović et al. 2007; Stefanović and Costea 2008; García et al. 2014).

Included Species—Section Cleistogrammica comprises 15 species: C. australis R. Br., C. bifurcata Yunck., C. campestris Yunck., C. harperi Small, C. glabrior (Engelm.) Yunck., C. gymnocarpa Engelm., C. karatavica Pavl.*, C. pentagona Engelm., C. plattensis A. Nelson, C. polygonorum Engelm., C. runyonii Yunck., C. obtusiflora Kunth, C. sandwichiana Choisy, C. schlechteri Yunck., and C. stenolepis Engelm. (the latter thought by Yuncker 1932 to belong to section Indecorae).

Distribution—Cleistogrammica is the section of subg. *Grammica* with the most complex biogeography. While most of its species are North American (Costea et al. 2006a; Stefanović et al. 2007, García et al. 2014), long-distance dispersal was documented on all the other continents: South America (*C. gymnocarpa, C. stenolepis,* and in part *C. obtusiflora*), Africa (*C. bifurcata* and *C. schlechteri*), Asia, Australia and Europe (*C. australis, C. karatavica*). In addition, *C. campestris* has been recently dispersed worldwide as a seed contaminant of forage legume crops (Costea and Tardif 2006).

- Cuscuta sect. Californicae (Yunck.) Costea & Stefanović, stat. nov. *Cuscuta* subsect. *Californicae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 156. 1932.—TYPE: *Cuscuta californica* Choisy.
- Cuscuta subsect. Subinclusae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 165. 1932, in part (all species except *C. micrantha* Choisy).—TYPE: Cuscuta subinclusa Dur. & Hilg.
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Racemosae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 143. 1932, in part (only *C. decipiens* Yunck.).—TYPE: *Cuscuta racemosa* Mart.

Inflorescences glomerulate to umbelliform. Flowers 2–7 mm long; calyx campanulate to cylindrical 1/2 to equalling or exceeding corolla tube, divided 1/3–1/2 the length, lobes acute (rounded in *C. decipiens*); corolla campanulate, cylindrical or globose, lobes acute (rounded in *C. decipiens*); infrastaminal scales show a reduction trend from well-developed in *C. decipiens* and *C. draconella* to absent in *C. californica, C. brachycalyx, C. jepsonii,* and *C. occidentalis*; pollen grains 3(4)-colpate, tectum imperforate or perforate; styles cylindrical, thin; stigmas globose, small. Fruit indehiscent, globose to ovoid. Figures 4H–I.

Note—The current delimitation of section *Californicae* amalgamates Yuncker's (1932) subsections *Californicae* and *Subinclusae* (Fig. 1). *Cuscuta micrantha*, placed by Yuncker (1932) in subsect. *Subinclusae*, belongs to sect. *Racemosae* (Stefanović et al. 2007; García et al. 2014). Two new species, *C. draconella* and *C. pacifica*, and a species formerly included by Yuncker (1932) in subsection *Racemosae*, *C. decipiens*, were also placed by molecular studies in this section (Costea and Stefanović 2009b).

Included Species—Section Californicae has 11 species: Cuscuta brachycalyx Yunck., C. californica Hook. & Arn., C. decipiens Yunck., C. draconella Costea & Stefanović, C. howelliana P. Rubtzoff, C. jepsonii Yunck., C. occidentalis Millsp., C. pacifica Costea & M. A. R. Wright, C. salina Engelm., C. subinclusa Durand & Hilg., C. suksdorfii Yunck.

Distribution—Members of this section are confined to Southwestern North America.

 Cuscuta sect. Indecorae (Yunck.) Costea & Stefanović, stat. nov. *Cuscuta* subsect. *Indecorae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 161. 1932, in part (all species except *C. stenolepis* Engelm.).—TYPE: *Cuscuta indecora* Choisy

Inflorescences paniculate or corymbiform. Flowers 2– 5 mm long, fleshy because of dome-like epidermal cells (papillae also commonly present); calyx campanulate, equalling or exceeding corolla tube, divided 1/2–2/3 of the length, lobes acute; corolla campanulate, urceolate or globose, corolla lobes acute with inflexed tips; infrastaminal scales equalling corolla tube or showing a reduction trend (e.g. *C. warneri*); pollen grains 3(4)-colpate, tectum imperforate; styles cylindrical, thin; stigmas globose, small. Fruit indehiscent, globose to depressed, with a thickened apex or interstylar aperture. Figures 4J–K.

Included Species—Section *Indecorae* includes three species: *C. indecora* Choisy, *C. coryli* Engelm., *C. warneri* Yunck.

Distribution—The species of this section are North American. *Cuscuta indecora* has been further dispersed as a weed of forage legumes in South America.

- Cuscuta sect. Oxycarpae (Engelm. ex Yunck.) Costea & Stefanović, stat. nov. *Cuscuta* subsect. *Oxycarpae* Engelm. ex Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 172. 1932.—TYPE: *Cuscuta gronovii* Willd., here designated.
- Cuscuta subsect. Cephalanthae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 123. 1932.—Type: Cuscuta cephalanthi Engelm.
- Lepidanche Engelm., Amer. J. Sci. Arts 43: 343, 1842. Cuscuta subsect. Lepidanche (Engelm.) Engelm, Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis 1(3): 509. 1859.—TYPE: Lepidanche compositarum Engelm. (= Cuscuta glomerata Choisy)

Inflorescences paniculiform to glomerulate or sometimes rope-like; numerous bracts similar to calyx lobes present in some species at the base of the flowers (*C. compacta, C. cuspidata, C. glomerata, C. squamata*). Flowers 2–6 mm; calyx campanulate, 1/2 to equaling corolla tube, divided 1/2–2/3 (or nearly to the base in the sp. with multiple bracts); lobes obtuse to acute; corolla campanulate to cylindrical, lobes obtuse to acute straight; infrastaminal scales 1/2 to equaling corolla tube, with very long fimbriae; pollen grains 3(4)-colpate, tectum imperforate or perforate; styles cylindrical, thin; stigmas globose, small. Fruit indehiscent, globose (depressed-globose in *C. cephalanthi*), commonly narrowed/thickened distally. Figures 4L–M.

Note—Yuncker (1932) limited subsect. *Oxycarpae* of Engelmann (1859) to include only *C. gronovii*, *C. rostrata*, and *C. umbrosa*. Our phylogenetic results (Stefanović et al. 2007; García et al. 2014) also placed in this group several species with multiple bracts (*C. compacta*, *C. cuspidata*, *C. squamata*, and *C. glomerata*), which were initially considered to form a distinct genus, *Lepidanche* (Engelmann 1842), and later a subsection of section *Cleistogrammica* (Engelmann 1859; Yuncker 1932). In addition, *C. cephalanthi*, thought by Yuncker (1932) to form a monotypic subsection (*Cephalanthae*), is an integral part of this group.

Included Species—Section *Oxycarpae* includes eight species: *C. cephalanthi* Engelm., *C. compacta* Juss., *C. cuspidata* Engelm., *C. glomerata* Choisy, *C. gronovii* Willd., *C. rostrata* Shuttlew. ex Engelm. & A. Gray, *C. squamata* Engelm., and *C. umbrosa* Beyr. ex Hook.

Distribution—The group is North American; *C. gronovii* var. *gronovii* was also introduced but not naturalised in West Indies and West Europe.

- Cuscuta sect. Racemosae (Yunck.) Costea & Stefanović, stat. nov. *Cuscuta* subsect. *Racemosae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 143. 1932.—TYPE: *Cuscuta racemosa* Mart.
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Subinclusae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 166. 1932, in part (*C. micrantha* Choisy).—TYPE: *Cuscuta subinclusa* Dur. & Hilg.
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Tinctoriae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 208. 1932, in part (only *C. corniculata* Engelm. and *C. incurvata* Prog.).—TYPE: *Cuscuta tinctoria* Mart.
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Acutilobae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 201. 1932, in part (only *C. xanthochortos* Mart.).—TYPE: *Cuscuta acutiloba* Engelm.
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Acutae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 152. 1932, in part (only *C. globosa* Ridl.).—TYPE: *Cuscuta acuta* Engelm.

Inflorescences paniculiform, corymbiform or fasciculate. Flowers 1.5–4 mm long; calyx campanulate to cylindrical, 1/ 2 to equaling corolla tube, divided 1/2–3/4, lobes acute or obtuse/rounded; corolla campanulate to cylindrical, lobes acute to obtuse; infrastaminal scales equalling corolla tube; pollen grains 3(4)-colpate, tectum imperforate or perforate; styles cylindrical, thin; stigmas globose, small. Fruit globose, indehiscent, commonly narrowed or thickened apically. Figures 4N–O.

Note—New additions to subsect. *Racemosae* of Yuncker (1932) based on molecular results (Stefanović et al. 2007; García et al. 2014) are: *C. micrantha* (subsect. Subinclusae, Yuncker 1932), *C. corniculata, C. incurvata* (subsect. *Tinctoriae*, Yuncker 1932), *C. globosa*, and *C. werdermannii* (the latter included in subsect. *Acutae* by Hunziker 1949).

Included Species—Section Racemosae comprises 15 species: C. andina Phil.*, C. corniculata Engelm., C. globosa Ridl.*, C. incurvata Prog., C. micrantha Choisy, C. parviflora Engelm., C. pauciflora Phil.*, C. peruviana Yunck.*, C. platyloba Prog., C. racemosa Mart., C. suaveolens Ser., C. taimensis Ferreira & Dettke*, C. werdermannii Hunz., C. xanthochortos Mart. ex Engelm., and C. yunckeriana Hunz.*

Distribution—All the species of sect. *Racemosae* are South American. *Cuscuta suaveolens* was introduced at the end of the 19th century in North America, Europe, Africa, and

Australia with seeds of alfalfa but it did not naturalize on these continents.

16. **Cuscuta** sect. **Partitae** Costea & Stefanović, sect. nov.— TYPE: *Cuscuta partita* Choisy

Inflorescences corymbiform or paniculiform. Flowers 2– 5 mm long; calyx campanulate, equalling to exceeding corolla tube, divided almost to the base, lobes linear to lanceolate acute; corolla globose to campanulate; lobes linear to lanceolate, entire; infrastaminal scales equaling or exceeding corolla tube; pollen grains 3(4)-colpate, tectum imperforate or perforate; styles cylindrical, thin; stigmas globose, small. Fruit dehiscent or indehiscent, globose or globosedepressed. Figures 4P–R.

Note—Cuscuta *partita* and *C. haughtii* were thought by Yuncker (1932) to belong to subsections *Odontolepisae* and *Acutae*, respectively. *Cuscuta burrelllii* and *C. longiloba* are among the last species *Cuscuta* described by Yuncker (1957, 1961), and the author was undecided about their placement either in subsection *Odontolepisae* or *Acutae*.

Included Species—Section Partitae includes five species: C. burrellii Yunck., C. longiloba Yunck., C. haughtii Yunck., C. partita Choisy, C. rojasii Hunz.*

Distribution—Section Partitae is South American.

- Cuscuta sect. Denticulatae (Yunck.) Costea & Stefanović, stat. nov. Cuscuta subsect. Denticulatae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 170. 1932, in part (all spp. except C. microstyla Engelm.).—TYPE: Cuscuta denticulata Engelm.
- Cuscuta subsect. Subinclusae Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 165. 1932, in part (only C. salina var. apoda (Yunck.) Yunck. = C. nevadensis I. M. Johnst.).—TYPE: Cuscuta subinclusa Dur. & Hilg.

Inflorescences glomerulate to umbelliform. Flowers 2– 3 mm long; calyx cylindric-campanulate, ca. equalling corolla tube, divided ca. 2/3 the length, lobes rounded to acute; corolla campanulate, lobes rounded to acute; infrastaminal scales equalling corolla tube; pollen grains 3(4)-colpate, tectum imperforate; styles cylindrical, thin; stigmas globose, small. Fruit indehiscent, globose-ovoid to ovoid, 1-seeded; embryo spherically enlarged. Figures 4S–T.

Note—Yuncker (1932) placed in this group *C. denticulata*, *C. veatchii*, and a South American species, *C. microstyla* (Yuncker 1932); however, the latter belongs to Sect. *Subulatae* (Stefanović et al. 2007; García et al. 2014).

Included Species—Section *Denticulatae* has three species: *C. denticulata* Engelm., *C. nevadensis* I. M. Johnst., and *C. veatchii* Brandegee.

Distribution—Cuscuta *denticulata* and *C. nevadensis* are distributed in Western U. S. A. while *C. veatchii* grows is the Central Desert of Baja California, Mexico.

- Cuscuta sect. Lobostigmae Engelm. ["Lobostigma"], Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis 1: 512. 1859. Cuscuta subsect. Lobostigmae (Engelm.) Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 142. 1932.— TYPE: Cuscuta tasmanica Engelm., here designated.
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Tinctoriae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 208. 1932, in part (only *C. tinctoria* Mart.).—TYPE: *Cuscuta tinctoria* Mart.
- Cuscuta subsect. Subulatae Engelm. ex Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 195. 1932, in part (only C. jalapensis)— TYPE: Cuscuta grandiflora Kunth.

[Volume 40

- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Americanae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 217. 1932, in part (only *C. floribunda* Kunth).—TYPE: *Cuscuta americana* L.
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Odontolepisae* Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 226. 1932, in part (only *C. purpusii* Yunck.).—TYPE: *Cuscuta odontolepis* Engelm.
- *Cuscuta* subsect. *Platycarpae* Engelm. ex Yunck., Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 18: 124. 1932, in part (only *C. victoriana* Yunck.).—TYPE: *C. obtusiflora* Kunth

Inflorescences glomerulate to corymbiform. Flowers commonly large and thick, $4-9 \text{ mm} \log (1.5-2.5 \text{ mm} \text{ in } C. victo$ riana); Calyx campanulate to globose, <math>1/2 to equalling corolla tube, divided 1/2-1/4 the length, lobes acute to rounded; corolla campanulate to globose, lobes acute to rounded; infrastaminal scales equalling corolla tube; pollen grains 3 (4)-colpate, tectum imperforate, perforate, or microreticulate; styles cylindrical or subulate, thick (0.2–0.9 mm in diameter), stigmas large (0.25–0.6 mm in diameter), usually lobed. Fruit dehiscent (indehiscent in *C. tasmaniana* and *C. victoriana*). Figures 4V-W.

Note—Both Engelmann (1859) and Yuncker (1932) included in the section/subsection *Lobostigmae* only *C. tasmanica*. Phylogenetic results showed that *C. tasmanica* is a part of the second largest infrageneric group of subg. *Grammica* (Costea et al. 2013). Most of these species (*C. jalapensis, C. mitriformis, C. rugosiceps, C. lindsayi* and *C. woodsonii*) were placed by Yuncker (1932) in subsect. *Subulatae,* while others were originally included in other subsections: *Tinctoriae* (*C. tinctoria* vars. *tinctoria* and *aurea*), *Odontolepisae* (*C. purpusii*), *Americanae* (*C. tinctoria* var. *floribunda*), and *Platycarpae* (*C. victoriana*) (Fig. 1). In addition, seven new species from this clade were recently described (Costea et al. 2008b; Costea et al. 2013).

Included Species—Section Lobostigmae includes 18 species: C. cotijana Costea & I. García, C. durangana Yunck*, C. iguanella Costea & I. García, C. insolita Costea & I. García, C. jalapensis Schltdl., C. lindsayi Wiggins, C. mitriformis Engelm. ex Hemsl. ["mitraeformis"], C. montana Costea & Stefanović, C. purpusii Yunck., C. rugosiceps Yunck., C. tasmanica Engelm., C. tatei Yunck.*, C. timida Costea & Stefanović, C. tinctoria Mart. ex Engelm., C. tolteca Costea & Stefanović, C. victoriana Yunck., C. volcanica Costea & I. García, and C. woodsonii Yunck.

Distribution—Section Lobostigmae is distributed in Mexico and the adjacent areas (Southern U. S. A. and Central America); as an exception, *C. tasmanica*, *C. tatei*, and *C. victoriana* have a disjunct distribution in Australia.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors thank the curators/directors of the over 100 herbaria (see Materials and Methods) that made available their specimens for study. We are also grateful to Tom Van Devender, Ignacio García Ruiz, and Eleazar Carranza for sending additional plant material. Two anonymous reviewers kindly provided comments that improved the quality of the article. This research was supported by NSERC of Canada Discovery grants to M. Costea (327013) and S. Stefanović (326439).

LITERATURE CITED

- Baker, J. G. and C. H. Wright. 1904. Convolvulaceae. Pp. 45–87 in *Flora Capensis* vol. 4(2), Hydrophyllaceae to Pedalineae, ed. Thyselton-Dyer W.. London: Reeve and Co.
- Bauhin, C. 1623. Pinax theatri botanici. Pp. 219. Basileae Helvet.: sumptibus et typis Ludovici Regis.
- Braukmann, T., M. Kuzmina, and S. Stefanović. 2013. Plastid genome evolution across the genus *Cuscuta* (Convolvulaceae): two clades

within subgenus *Grammica* exhibit extensive gene loss. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64: 977–989.

- Butkov, A. J. 1953. Cuscutaceae Dumort. Pp. 37–77 in Flora SSSR, vol. 19, ed. V. L. Komarov, Moscow, Leningrad: Izdatel'stvo Akademii nauk SSSR.
- Choisy, J. D. 1841. De Convolvulaceis dissertatio tertia, complectens Cuscutarum hucusque cog nitarum methodicam enumerationem et descriptionem, necnon et brevem gallicam de Cuscutis praefationem. *Memoires de la Société de Physique et d'histoire naturelle de Genève* 9: 261–288.
- Chrtek, J. 1997. A new species of Monogynella (Cuscutaceae). Rada Přírodovedná 166: 1–3.
- Costea, M. 2007–onwards. Digital atlas of *Cuscuta* (Convolvulaceae). Ontario, Canada: Wilfrid Laurier University Herbarium. https:// www.wlu.ca/page.php?grp_id=2147&p=8968 (Accessed February 15, 2014).
- Costea, M. and S. Stefanović. 2009a. Cuscuta jepsonii (Convolvulaceae), an invasive weed or an extinct endemic? American Journal of Botany 96: 1744–1750.
- Costea, M. and S. Stefanović. 2009b. Molecular phylogeny of Cuscuta californica complex (Convolvulaceae) and a new species from New Mexico and Trans-Pecos. Systematic Botany 34: 570–579.
- Costea, M. and S. Stefanović. 2010. Evolutionary history and taxonomy of the *Cuscuta umbellata* complex (Convolvulaceae): Evidence of extensive hybridization from discordant nuclear and plastid phylogenies. *Taxon* 59: 1783–1800.
- Costea, M. and F. J. Tardif. 2004. Cuscuta (Convolvulaceae)—the strength of weakness: A history of its name, uses and parasitism concept during ancient and medieval times. Sida 21: 369–378.
- Costea, M. and F. J. Tardif. 2006. Biology of Canadian weeds. Cuscuta campestris Yuncker, C. gronovii Willd. ex Schult., C. umbrosa Beyr. ex Hook., C. epithymum (L.) L. and C. epilinum Weihe. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 86: 293–316.
- Costea, M., F. Aiston, and S. Stefanović. 2008a. Species delimitation, phylogenetic relationships and two new species in the *Cuscuta gracillima* complex (Convolvulaceae). *Botany* 86: 670–681.
- Costea, M., I. García Ruiz, and M. Welsh. 2008b. A new species of Cuscuta (Convolvulaceae) from Michoacan, Mexico. Brittonia 60: 235–239.
- Costea, M., I. García Ruiz, and S. Stefanović. 2011a. Systematics of "horned" dodders: Phylogenetic relationships, taxonomy, and two new species within the *Cuscuta chapalana* complex (Convolvulaceae). *Botany* 89: 715–730.
- Costea, M., I. García Ruiz, K. Docksteder, and S. Stefanović. 2013. More problems despite bigger flowers: Systematics of *Cuscuta tinctoria* clade (subgenus *Grammica*, Convolvulaceae) with description of six new species. *Systematic Botany* 4: 1160–1187.
- Costea, M., G. L. Nesom, and S. Stefanović. 2006a. Taxonomy of the *Cuscuta pentagona* complex (Convolvulaceae) in North America. *Sida* 22: 151–175.
- Costea, M., G. L. Nesom, and S. Stefanović. 2006b. Taxonomy of the *Cuscuta indecora* (Convolvulaceae) complex in North America. *Sida* 22: 209–225.
- Costea, M., G. L. Nesom, and S. Stefanović. 2006c. Taxonomy of the *Cuscuta salina-californica* complex (Convolvulaceae). *Sida* 22: 176–195.
- Costea, M., G. L. Nesom, and F. J. Tardif. 2005. Taxonomic status of *Cuscuta nevadensis* and *C. veatchii* (Convolvulaceae) in North America. *Brittonia* 57: 264–272.
- Costea, M., I. Spence, and S. Stefanović. 2011b. Systematics of Cuscuta chinensis species complex (subgenus Grammica, Convolvulaceae): Evidence for long-distance dispersal and one new species. Organisms, Diversity & Evolution 11: 373–386.
- Costea, M., M. A. R. Wright, and S. Stefanović. 2009. Untangling the systematics of salt marsh dodders: *Cuscuta pacifica* a new segregate species from *Cuscuta salina* (Convolvulaceae). *Systematic Botany* 34: 787–795.
- Dawson, J. H., L. J. Musselman, P. Wolswinkel, and I. Dörr. 1994. Biology and control of *Cuscuta*. Reviews of Weed Science 6: 265–317.
- Des Moulins, C. 1853. Études organiques sur les cuscutes. Extrait du Compte-rendu de la XIX session (Toulouse) du congrès scientifique de France 2: 1–180.
- Dobignard, A. 2009. Cinq noveaux taxons pour le flore du Maroc. *Journal de Botanique de la Société Botanique de France* 48: 7–20.
- Dumortier, B.-C. 1829. Analyse des familles des plantes avec l'indication des principaux genres qui s'y rattachent. Paris: Tournay.
- Engelmann, G. 1842. Monography of North American Cuscutineae. American Journal of Science and Arts 43: 333-344.

- Engelmann, G. 1859. Systematic arrangement of the species of the genus *Cuscuta* with critical remarks on old species and descriptions of new ones. *Transactions of the Academy of Science of Saint Louis* 1: 453–523.
- EOL (Encyclopedia of Life). 2014. http://www.eol.org (Accessed February 15, 2014).
- Feinbrun, N. 1972. Cuscuta L. Pp. 74–77 in Flora Europaea, vol. 3, eds. T. G. Tutin, V. H. Heywood, N. A. Burges, D. M. Moore, H. Valentine, S. M. Walters, and D. A. Webb. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Fogelberg, S. O. 1938. The cytology of Cuscuta. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club 65: 631–645.
- García, M. A. 1998. Cuscuta rausii (Convolvulaceae), a new species from Greece. Annales Botanici Fennici 35: 171–174.
- García, M. A. 1999. Notes on Cuscuta subgenus Cuscuta in Ethiopia with the description of a new species. Annales Botanici Fennici 36: 165–170.
- García, M. A. 2001. A new western Mediterranean species of *Cuscuta* (Convolvulaceae) confirms the presence of holocentric chromosomes in subgenus *Cuscuta*. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 135: 169–178.
- García, M. A. and S. Castroviejo. 2003. Estudios citotaxonómicos en las especies ibéricas del género Cuscuta (Convolvulaceae). Anales del Jardin Botanico de Madrid 60: 33–44.
- García, M. A. and M. P. Martín. 2007. Phylogeny of *Cuscuta* subgenus *Cuscuta* (Convolvulaceae) based on nrDNA ITS and chloroplast *trnL* intron sequences. *Systematic Botany* 32: 899–916.
- García, M. A., M. Costea, M. Kuzmina, and S. Stefanović. 2014. Phylogeny, character evolution, and biogeography of *Cuscuta* (dodders; Convolvulaceae) inferred from coding plastid and nuclear sequences. *American Journal of Botany* 101: 670–690.
- GBIF (Global Biodiversity Information Facility). 2014. http://www.gbif .org/ (Accessed February 15, 2014).
- Hadač, E. and J. Chrtek. 1970. Notes on the taxonomy of Cuscutaceae. Folia Geobotanica 5: 443–445.
- Hunziker, A. T. 1949. Las especies de Cuscuta (Convolvulaceae) de Argentina y Uruguay. Revista de la Facultad de Ciencias Exactas Fisicas y Naturales 12: 1101–1202.
- Hunziker, A. T. 1950. Las especies de Cuscuta (Convolvulaceae) de Argentina y Uruguay (Continuación). Revista de la Facultad de Ciencias Exactas Fisicas y Naturales 13: 177–251.
- IPNI (International Plant Names Index). 2014. http://www.ipni.org (Accessed February 15, 2014).
- Kaul, M. L. H. and A. K. Bhan. 1977. Cytogenetics of polyploids VI. Cytology of tetraploid and hexaploid *Cuscuta reflexa* Roxb. *Cytologia* 42: 125–136.
- Kuijt, J. 1969. The biology of parasitic flowering plants. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Linnaeus, C. 1753. Species Plantarum. Stockholm: Salvius.
- Loureiro, J. 1790. *Flora Cochinchinensis*. Lisbon, Ulyssipone: typis et expensis Academicis.
- Manitz, H. 1976. Zur Lectotypisierung der Namen einiger Convolvulaceen und Cuscutaceen Gattungen. *Feddes Repertorium* 87: 311–317.
- McNeal, J. R., K. Arumugunathan, J. V. Kuehl, J. L. Boore, and C. W. dePamphilis. 2007. Systematics and plastid genome evolution of the cryptically photosynthetic parasitic plant genus *Cuscuta* (Convolvulaceae). *BMC Biology* 5: 55.
- Mirande, M. 1900. *Recherches physiologiques et anatomiques sur les Cuscutacées*. Ph. D thesis, Paris: Faculté des Sciences de Paris.
- Pazy, B. and U. Plitmann. 1987. Persisting demibivalents: A unique meiotic behaviour in *Cuscuta babylonica* Choisy. *Genome* 29: 63–66.
- Pazy, B. and U. Plitmann. 1995. Chromosome divergence in the genus *Cuscuta* and its systematic implications. *Caryologia* 48: 173–180.
- Peter, A. 1891. Convolvulaceae. Pp. 1–40 in Die Natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien, eds. A. Engler and K. Prantl, Leipzig; Engelmann.
- Pfeiffer, L. 1845. Characteristik der in der Gegend von Kassel beobachteten Gattungen und Arten von Cuscuteen. Botanische Zeitung (Berlin) 3: 673–674.

- Plitmann, U. 1978. Cuscuta L. Pp. 222–237 in Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands, vol. 7, ed. P. H. Davis. Edinburgh: Royal Botanic Garden.
- Press, M. C. and G. K. Phoenix. 2005. Impacts of parasitic plants on natural communities. *The New Phytologist* 166: 737–751.
- Rafinesque, C. S. 1836. Flora telluriana. Philadelphia: Probasco.
- Ray, J. 1682. (reprinted 1962). *Methodus plantarum*. New York: Wheldon and Wesley, Ltd. and Hafner Publishing Co.
- Riviere, S., C. Clayson, K. Dockstader, M. A. R. Wright, and M. Costea. 2013. To attract or to repel? Diversity, evolution and role of the "most peculiar organ" in the *Cuscuta* flower (dodder, Convolvulaceae) the infrastaminal scales. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 299: 529–552.
- Sengupta, G., M. S. Salar Khan, and A. M. Huq. 1983. A new species of Cuscuta from Chittagong Hill Tracts, Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Botany 12: 33–36.
- Sherman, T. D., A. J. Bowling, T. W. Barger, and K. C. Vaughn. 2008. The vestigial root of dodder (*Cuscuta pentagona*) seedlings. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 169: 998–1012.
- Stefanović, S. and M. Costea. 2008. Reticulate evolution in the parasitic genus *Cuscuta* (Convolvulaceae): Over and over again. *Botany* 86: 791–808.
- Stefanović, S. and R. G. Olmstead. 2004. Testing the phylogenetic position of a parasitic plant (*Cuscuta*, Convolvulaceae, Asteridae): Bayesian inference and the parametric bootstrap on data drawn from three genomes. *Systematic Biology* 53: 384–399.
- Stefanović, S. and R. G. Olmstead. 2005. Down the slippery slope: Plastid genome evolution in Convolvulaceae. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 61: 292–305.
- Stefanović, S., M. Kuzmina, and M. Costea. 2007. Delimitation of major lineages within *Cuscuta* subgenus *Grammica* (dodders; Convolvulaceae) using plastid and nuclear DNA sequences. *American Journal of Botany* 94: 568–589.
- The Plant List. 2014. Version 1. http://www.theplantlist.org/ (Accessed February 15, 2014).
- Tournefort, J. P. 1694. Éléments de botanique ou méthode pour connaître les plantes. Paris: Imprimerie Royale.
- Truscott, F. H. 1966. Some aspects of morphogenesis in *Cuscuta gronovii*. American Journal of Botany 53: 739–750.
- Vasudevan, K. N. 1975. Contribution to the cytotaxonomy and cytogeography of the flora of the West Himalayas (with an attempt to compare it with the flora of the Alps): part 1. Berichte der Schweizerischen Botanischen Gesellschaft 85: 57–84.
- Welsh, M., S. Stefanović, and M. Costea. 2010. Pollen evolution and its taxonomic significance in *Cuscuta* (dodders, Convolvulaceae). *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 285: 83–101.
- Wright, M. A., M. D. Ianni, and M. Costea. 2012. Diversity and evolution of pollen-ovule production in *Cuscuta* (dodders, Convolvulaceae) in relation to floral morphology. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 298: 369–389.
- Wright, M. A., M. Welsh, and M. Costea. 2011. Diversity and evolution of the gynoecium in *Cuscuta* (dodders, Convolvulaceae) in relation to their reproductive biology: Two styles are better than one. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 296: 51–76.
- Yuncker, T. G. 1921. (reprinted 1970). Revision of the North American and West Indian species of *Cuscuta*. Illinois Biological Monographs 6: 91–231.
- Yuncker, T. G. 1932. The genus Cuscuta. Memoirs of the Torrey Botanical Club 18: 113–331.
- Yuncker, T. 1957. A new dodder from Goiás, Cuscuta burrellii. The Machris Brazilian expedition. Botany. Los Angeles County Museum Contributions in Science 3: 1–2.
- Yuncker, T. G. 1961. A new species of Cuscuta from Paraguay. Svensk Botanisk Tidskrift 55: 229–230.
- Yuncker, T. G. 1965. Cuscuta. North American Flora, ser. II, 4: 1–51. Bronx, New York: New York Botanical Garden.